TMOS 13.x

Radovan Gibala, F5 - FSE Milan Šimčík, Alef - Sr. System Engineer Jiří Doubek, Alef - Sr. System Engineer





Agenda 1. část

- VE enhancements
- Private Cloud
- New BigIP Hardware
- TAP/L2 Transparent Mode, SPAN Port
- Malicious Bots
- Credential Stuffing
- DDoS Attacks
- Malware
- ADFS Proxy support
- Advanced WAF
- New Licensing Model

X ALEF

Agenda praktická ukázka

- Advanced WAF
 - Credential stuffing
 - Data safe
- ASM
 - Bot Protection: Web scraping + Bot Whitelisting
 - Brute Force Protection
- Tipy v TMOS v13
 - Troubleshooting monitoru v13, autokorekce časovačů, SSL profil
 - Network map
 - Black list IP v IP add exceptions

X ALEF

BIG-IP Virtual Edition v13.1/13.1.0.1/13.1.0.2 Key Features Highlights



Cloud Platform Support

- AWS IC^{*} & GovCloud Marketplace offerings
- Google Updates + Utility Licensing
- AzureStack Integration
- VMware on IBM Cloud Integration





High Performance Improvements

- High Performance Native Driver for VMware (non-SRIOV)
- High Performance: Support for 24
 vCPUs
- NIC Teaming
- Increased vNIC count

Lower TCO: Licensing & Automation

- BIG-IP Ready Status Indicator
- License Revocation with public license server
- Reduce spin-up time and disk
 image size
- Update open-vm-tools for
 automated guest customization

Automation Enablement and Lower TCO

Customer Challenges

- Customers implementing automated deployments must develop tests to check if BIG-IP is ready to accept configurations
- Customers needs to move their VE license key from one host to another during host maintenance or workload migration
- Costs due to frequent spin up/tear down instances and large disk
 image sizes for developers and iterative DevOps deployments
- Manual VE deployments in VMware environments

F5 Solution: BIG-IP Updates

- BIG-IP Ready Status Indicator Determine when BIG-IP is able to accept a configuration or ready to license
- License Mobility Manually or automatically revoke VE license key and apply to different VE without BIG-IQ LM
- Reduce spin-up time by up to 50% and disk image size by up to 40% across various cloud environments
- Updated open-vm-tools enables automated deployment, including mgmt setup w/o DHCP, secure root/admin, and configuration



Result: ~40% reduction in upgradeable images

VE Performance Improvements

Customer Challenges

- Traffic growth requires high performance VNF's (SP) and software ADC's for high bandwidth application use cases
- CPU cost and inefficiencies using SR-IOV for performance
- Provide ADC services in multiple external networks and Increase bandwidth without requiring upgrade to higher throughput NICs

F5 Solution: Performance Updates

- 20G/10G performance (HP VE/Std VE) without SR-IOV and cpu reduction by supporting native driver for VMware ESXi environments
- High Performance: Support for up to 24 vCPUs (previously 16 was max) for WAF and SSL. Performance improvements TBD.
- NIC Teaming with SR-IOV Enables customers to team multiple NICs to handle link failures and increase bandwidth without requiring higher throughput NICs & switches
- Increased vNIC interfaces supported to 28 (KVM) Maintain separate internal/external/mgmt/IPv4/IPv6 networks with one VE

SP: GiLan (LTM, AFM, CGNAT, and PEM)



Enterprise: Traffic Management/App Protection (LTM, AFM, ASM, APM)



It's All About DevOps and Cloud



New iSeries BIG-IP i11600/i11800

Sits above i10800 and replaces BIG-IP 10350v

- Compared to i10800
- 2x Processor
- 2x Memory
- 2x SSD capacity
- 2x vCMP instances
- 1.4x L7 RPS and L4 CPS
- Interfaces/Ports same as i10800
- TMOS v12.1.3/13.1



*Available Dec CY17

© 2017 F5 Networks

BIG-IP v13.1 TurboFlex Updates

iSeries TurboFlex Enhancement

- GUI for selecting which TurboFlex profile to use
- New features in TurboFlex Security Profile
 - Multiple DoS vector lookups (multi-layer attack mitigation)
 - Custom DoS Signatures In HW (Behavioral DoS) that provides dynamically programmable of HW signatures
 - Transparent L2 forwarding



L2 Wire/L2 Transparent mode

L2Wire (aka bump in the wire)

- In this mode, a port pair is defined, and packets ingressing port-1 of the port pair is sent to port-2 of the port pair and vice versa
- Forwarding decision is based solely on the incoming port.



TAP Mode

Customer Challenges

- Avoid single point of failure network scenario
- Identify DDoS attacks from TAP data
- Support topology changes (resistance to inline deployment)

F5 Solution: TAP Mode

- Simplifies deployment
- No single point of failure
- Enhances DDoS detection and visibility
 - RTBH for mitigation via upstream router



SPAN Port Support (EA) - topology



LTM & ASM configuration includes

- SPAN Port enabled
- FastL4 Profile
- Wildcard Virtual Server (NAT disabled)
- VLAN is optional
- No Pool, No Self IP
- HTTP Profile

•

- ASM Policy (if needed)
- Application DoS Profile (if needed)

© 2017 F5 Networks

Confidential

What Are Today's Common Threats?



MALICIOUS BOTS

Bots, Bots, and More Bots

50%

of Internet traffic is automated



of 2016 web application breaches involved the use of bots

77%

98.6M bots observed

Source: Internet Security Threat Report, Symantec, April 2017

Bots

A common source of many threat vectors

Client-Side Attacks

Malware

Ransomware

Man-in-the-browser

Session hijacking

Cross-site request forgery

Cross-site scripting

App Infrastructure Attacks

Man-in-the-middle Key disclosure Eavesdropping DNS cache poisoning DNS spoofing DNS hijacking Protocol abuse Dictionary attacks **DDoS Attacks**

SYN, UDP, and HTTP floods SSL renegotiation DNS amplication Heavy URL

Web Application Attacks

API attacks

Cross-site scripting

Injection

Cross-site request forgery

Malware

Abuse of functionality

Man-in-the-middle

Credential theft

Credential stuffing

Phishing

Certificate spoofing Protocol abuse

Thingbots

Application Threat Intelligence

Reaper panic

The latest thingbot making press waves was predicted in "The Hunt for IoT" volume 3



LABS

BLOG / OCT 26, 2017

REAPER: THE PROFESSIONAL BOT HERDER'S THINGBOT

BY DAVID HOLMES, JUSTIN SHATTUCK





This isn't your mama's botnet. This is a proper botnet. If you were the world's best IoT botnet builder and you wanted to show the world how well-crafted an IoT botnet could be, Reaper is what you'd build. It hasn't been seen attacking anyone yet, and that is part of its charm. But, what is it doing? We've got some ideas.

Oct 31, 2017 Update

The intentions of Reaper are as unclear today as they were a week ago. We hold to our position that the interesting aspect of Reaper is not its current size, but its engineering, and therefore its potential.

From a pure research perspective, we're interested in *how* Reaper is spreading. Instead of targeting weak auth like a common thingbot, Reaper *weaponizes* nine (and counting) different IoT vulnerabilities.

Proactive Bot Defense



Behavioural analysis to identify malicious bots

Proactive Bot Defense and Bot Signature

Application Security >> Proactive Bot Defense

Close All

This feature proactively detects bots and scripts, and prevents them from accessing the site. It may be used to prevent DDoS, Web Scraping, and Brute Force attacks. Enabling this feature requires Java Script support from the browsers.

Operation Mode	Specifies the conditions under which bots are detected and blocked.	Always	Close
Block requests from suspicious browsers	Strengthen the bot defense by blocking suspicious browsers. Highly suspicious browsers are completely blocked, while moderately suspicious browsers are challenged with CAPTCHA.	 Block Suspicious Browsers CAPTCHA Challenge CAPTCHA Settings 	Close
Grace Period	The Grace Period gives time for browsers to be validated as non- bots. During this period, requests that were not validated as are not blocked.	Most users navigate within the site at least once every 300 seconds Set default period	Close
Cross-Domain Requests	Additional security can be added by allowing only the configured domains to reference resources of the site.	Allow all requests	Close
URL Whitelist (Wildcards supported) Example: /index.html	Specifies excluded URLs. Requests to these URLs will not be blocked by <i>Proactive Bot Defense</i> , although they may still be blocked by the TPS- based / Stress-based attack mitigation.	Add	Close

PBD - Client side integrity defense - flow



Bots that simulate browsers



Client Capabilities -challenge script flow



How bots that simulate browsers are evaluated and scored



Proactive Bot Defense improvements summary

- PBD interaction with Bot signature Benign white list
- Identification of browsers discrepancies utilizing I can use and Modernizr databases (updates same time as other attack signatures)
- Databases are updated with the ASM attack signatures and the bot signatures
- Giving score to browsers and executing relevant actions:
 - Pass request to the server
 - CAPTCHA to verify human
 - Block bots

Anti-Bot Mobile SDK

Mobile SDK is part of a unified framework for detecting bots and classifying clients

- Requires a separate license
- Requires a separate Anti-Bot Mobile SDK EULA
- SDK will be available upon request
- SDK should be integrated by application developer with the existing mobile application

Confidential

Anti-Bot Mobile SDK

Security » DoS Protection : DoS Profiles »	fobile_App	
Properties Application Security		
Application Security General Settings ✓ Proactive Bot Defense Off	Application Security >> Mobile Applications This feature detects mobile applications built with the Anti-Bot Mobile SDK and defines how requests from these mobile application clients are handled. Note: The Anti-Bot Mobile SDK is not licensed. This feature will not be operational until licensed.	Close All
Bot Signatures Off Mobile Applications ✓ TPS-based Detection Off Behavioral & Stress-based Detection Off	Mobile App Protection When enabled, requests from mobile applications built with Anti-Bot Mobile data according to the settings below. Image: Content of the settings below. When disabled, these requests will be handled like any other request which may let attacks in, or cause false positives. Image: Content of the settings below.	Close
Record Traffic Off	IOS Criteria for iOS mobile applications.	Close
	Android Criteria for Android mobile applications. Allow Any Publisher Assigned publisher certificates: Assigned publisher certificates: Available publisher certificates: Image: Content of the second of the s	Close
	Advanced Configurations. When client side integrity or CAPTCHA challenges are required, then requests from mobile app are: Challenged for human behavior Allow Emulators	Close

Confidential

CREDENTIAL STUFFING

Credential Stuffing Example

- No prior breach
- Dozens of account takeovers left users picking up food bills they never ordered
- Unsuspecting victims received receipts via email, after it was too late



Fraudsters eat for free as Deliveroo accounts hit by mystery breach

Major Credential Breaches

In the last 8 years more than 7.1 billion identities have been exposed in data breaches¹



"Nearly 3 out of 4 consumers use duplicate passwords, many of which have not been changed in five years or more"²

¹⁾ Symantec Internet Security Threat Report, April 2017

²⁾ Password Statistics: The Bad, the Worse and the Ugly, Entrepreneur Media

How Credential Stuffing Works



Mitigation Options



Info on emerging threats

What is it?

Who does it affect?

Protection strategy recommendations

BLOG / MAY 31, 2017

FIGHT CREDENTIAL STUFFING BY TAKING A NEW APPROACH TO AUTHORIZATION

BY MICHAEL KOYFMAN



2016 has been called "the year of stolen credentials," and with good reason. Between the massive breaches at Yahoo, LinkedIn, MySpace, Tumblr,¹ Twitter,² and Dropbox,³ just to name a few, it's estimated that over 2 billion records were stolen. Although attackers steal all kinds of data, a vast majority of what's stolen are user credentials, and they're being put to bad use. The 2017 Verizon Data Breach Investigation Report found that 81% of hacking-related breaches leveraged stolen and/or weak passwords.⁴ What's more, these stolen credentials are readily available for sale on the dark Web to anyone willing to pay the price.⁵

Credential Stuffing Mitigation



Distributed brute force protection

Brute Force Protection Rearchitecture

Attack Detection

- Single source
- CAPTCHA bypass (CAPTCHA farm detection)*
- Distributed attack
- Credential Stuffing (EA)
- Learning of Brute Force violation is deprecated

Mitigation

- Enforcement actions: Alarm, CSI, CAPTCHA, Blocking page, Honeypot page, Drop
- Guarantee login availability to legitimate users
- Escalate mitigation upon detection of CAPTCHA bypass
- CAPTCHA for distributed attack

New in ASM 13.1

Confidential

Brute Force Protection Rearchitecture

A simpler GUI that works from default settings

Brute Force Protection Configur	ation				
Login Page	[HTTP] /user/login				
IP Address Whitelist 🗵	IP Address Whitelist is empty				
Source-based Brute Force Prote	ction				
Detection Period	2 Minutes				
Maximum Prevention Duration	2 Minutes				
Username	Trigger: Never • After 3 failed login attempts Action: Alarm and CAPTCHA •	Alarm			
Device ID	Trigger: Never After 3 failed login attempts Action: Alarm and CAPTCHA	Alarm and Blocking Page			
IP Address	Trigger: Never After 20 failed login attempts Action: Alarm and Honeypot Page	Alarm and CAPTCHA Alarm and Client Side Integrity			
Client Side Integrity Bypass Mitigation	Trigger: ● Never ● After 3 successful challenges with failed logins from IP Address / Device ID / Username Action: Alarm and CAPTCHA ▼	Alarm and Drop			
CAPTCHA Bypass Mitigation	Trigger: Never After successful challenges was railed logins from IP Address / Device ID Action: Alarm and Drop	Alarm and Honeypot Page			
Note: Default Honeypot page will b Distributed Brute Force Protection	e used for the "Honeypot Page" enforcement action. Failed Login Honeypot Response may be customized in the Response Pages 🗷				
Detection Period	15 Minutes				
Maximum Prevention Duration	60 Minutes				
Detect Distributed Attack	Never After 100 failed login attempts				
Detect Credential Stuffing	Never O After 100 login attempts that match known leaked credentials dictionary				
Mitigation	Alarm and CAPTCHA				
Cancel Save Restore Defau	Its				
7 F5 Networks	Confidential				

Connaential

New * Mitigation techniques and actions

Distributed Attack and Credential Stuffing detection

34

Brute Force Protection Rearchitecture

Credential Stuffing

٩.	↓↑ <u>Attack Start Time</u> •	Newest 🕇							Total Entries:
Cred	entials Stuffing [HTTP] /user/login		Ended 14:16:32 2017-07-04						2 ⁷
				Attack Summary	Mitigated IP Addresses	Mitigated Device IDs	Mitigated Usernam	es	Known Leaked Credentials
				27 Mitigated Known Leaked Creden	tials				
				≑ Username			⇒ Login Atte	mpts 🔻 Failed	Logins
				a.alqatamin90@yahoo.com			1	1	2017-07-04 11:30:43
				francoguyz@gmail.com			1	1	2017-07-04 11:30:43
				hiddlestonsarmyfans@gmail.com			1	1	2017-07-04 11:30:43
				muathecaodienthoai@gmail.com			1	1	2017-07-04 11:30:43
				ngaku_mails@yahoo.co.jp			1	1	2017-07-04 11:30:43
				smn_244@yahoo.com			1	1	2017-07-04 11:30:43
				spshmhfa@yahoo.com			1	1	2017-07-04 11:30:43
				unkers200@rambler.ru			1	1	2017-07-04 11:30:43
				walaashaheen32@gmail.com			1	1	2017-07-04 11:30:43
				vazahra935m@gmail.com			1	1	2017-07-04 11:30:43

 When an attempt at brute force is detected, credential stuffing suspicious attempts are checked and compared to a known database.

Confidential

Mitigating with Authorisation

PROBLEM Credential stuffing



SOLUTION Access control

Token-based authorisation (OAuth)

Auth Server

- 1. User login to application
- 2. User redirected to authorisation server
- 3. Authorisation server requires authentication before authorisation
- 4. User logs in
- 5. Auth server grants token
- 6. User access application

OAuth 2.0 Support Social login use, SaaS authorization, and API protection

Customer Challenges

- Improve user experience and registration workflow when logging into new sites
- Ability for users to share community content
- Improve application API sharing protection
- Simplify user access to SaaS apps that support OAuth

F5 Solution: OAuth 2.0 Support

- APM serves as OAuth client for social login
- APM is an authorization delegate for SaaS apps
- APM protects and authorizes web services APIs





OpenID Connect (OIDC) Support for OAuth Resource Server + Client

Customer Challenge

- Centralization of authorization & SSO across apps including with non-OIDC enabled apps
- Move to industry and App Developer friendly standardization for AuthN/Z across apps
- App authorization or customization based on user identity from another Identity Provider

APM Solution

- OpenID Connect for Client / Resource Server
- Built-in support for Identity Providers: Azure AD, Google, and Ping
- OpenID Connect for Authorization Server coming in BIG-IP v14.0 (Flatrock) Release



JSON Web Token (JWT) Support With OAuth

Customer Challenge

- Mobile apps access or APIs access without an always-on or connection to the Identity Provider
- Scaling for high volume API calls or clients
- JWT required with for popular OAuth Identity Providers (i.e. Azure AD)

APM Solution

- JWT tokens for APM as OAuth Authorization or Server Client / Resource Server – use digital signatures instead of statefull tokens that need validation
 - Access and Refresh Tokens (RFC 7519)
 - JWK (RFC 7517) and Well-known end points
 - Support for signing JWS (RFC 7515)
 - Support for asymmetric key rotation
- Built-in JWT support for Identity Providers: Azure AD, ADFS, Amazon, F5, FB, Google



Enhanced Step-up Authentication

Strengthens user authentication protection for AD authentication

Customer Challenges

- Multi-level applications carry higher risk
- Desire to add additional or multi-factor authentication (MFA) to secure parts of apps
- Need to re-validate user credentials for certain high security sections of apps

F5 Solution: Step-up Authentication

- Protects sections of apps with client certificates with validation, MFA providers that use HTTP or RADIUS AAA (DUO, Yubico, RSA SecurID), or local database
- Credentials can be checked based on any session variable



ADFS Proxy Integration Protocol (PIP) Support

Customer & Sales Challenge

- Scaling of on-prem MS ADFS for O365, MS on-prem apps, and other apps for federation without large TCO
- Device posture checks and use of existing MFA vendor investments
- Security concerns with having Windows in DMZ (MS WAP)
- Issues with getting MS support previously with APM as ADFS proxy

APM Solution

- Proxy for Active Directory Federation Services 3.0 & 4.0
- Replaces the Proxy functionality in Microsoft WAP
- Secure access to Office365 from on-premises ADFS
- Meets Proxy Integration Protocol (PIP) specifications
- F5 APM provides proxy capability for pre-authentication (endpoint inspection and MFA support) enabling scaling of MS ADFS
- First PIP implementation outside Microsoft





	Enabled Trust Certificate: none Username:
ADFS Proxy	Password:
	Certificate Name:
	OK Cancel

DDOS ATTACKS

Evolution of DDoS Attacks

2005

8 Gbps

Volumetric take-downs

Consume bandwidth of target

Network layer attack

Consume connection state tables

Application layer

Consume application resources

Volumetric DDoS attacks over time

2016 1.2 Tbps

2013 300 Gbps

DDoS in the News

Mirai DDoS attacks



DDoS for Hire

Low sophistication, high accessibility

Accessible

Booters/stressers easy to find

Lucrative

Profit margins of up to 95%

Effective

Many DDoS victims pay up

Our Pricing				
1 Month Basic	Bronze Lifetime	Gold Lifetime	Green Lifetime	Business Lifetime
5.00€	22.00€ Lifetime	50.00€ Lifetime	60.00€ Lifetime	90.00€ lifetime
1 Concurrent +	1 Concurrent +	1 Concurrent +	1 Concurrent +	1 Concurrent +
300 seconds boot time	600 seconds boot time	1200 seconds boot time	1800 seconds boot time	3600 seconds boot time
125Gbps total network capacity	125Gbps total network capacity	125Gbps total network capacity	125Gbps total network capacity	125Gbps total network capacity
Resolvers & Tools	Resolvers & Tools	Resolvers & Tools	Resolvers & Tools	Resolvers & Tools
24/7 Dedicated Support	24/7 Dedicated Support	24/7 Dedicated Support	24/7 Dedicated Support	24/7 Dedicated Support
Order Now	Order Now	Order Now	Order Now	Order Now

DDoS Malware



Critical info on threat source and attack type trends

WIREX ANDROID DDOS MALWARE ADDS UDP FLOOD

BY JULIA KARPIN, LIRON SEGAL, MAXIM ZAVODCHIK



An impressive recent collaboration among several security vendors resulted in a discovery and a timely reaction to a new Android DDoS thingbot dubbed WireX. As part of this joint effort, these vendors published a very good detailed report on their respective websites.

F5 threat researchers have found another variant of the malware that, in addition to the original HTTP flood, supports a UDP flood.

Just as in a GET flood, the bot browses a specific command and control (C&C) URL (in this case, "u.axclick.store") to get the details of the attack target. The response includes the target domain and port in the HTML "title" delimeted by the constant "snewxwri" string, similar to the GET flood instruction.

Hybrid DDoS Protection



Network Behavioral DDoS

Customer Challenges

- DDoS attacks are more complex now multi-vectored
- Detection of complex multi-vectored attacks is limited with static/single dimensional vectors
- Aggregate Rate-limiting "catches" good traffic with bad
- Per-SrcIP ineffective with spoofed IP's or "wide" botnet attacks

F5 Solution: Network Behavioral DDoS

- Attack detection in both inline and out-of-band deployments
- Sub-second attack detection
- Detects anomalies compared against historical baseline
- Statistical method baselines 3,000+ L3/4 metrics
- Dynamically generates "signatures" (vectors) upon attack detection
- On-demand/real-time "signature" creation and sharing
- Targeted "signatures" = Low false positive rate
- Detect-only mode allows review before enforcement

Monitor and Baseline L3/L4



Per-App (VS) Auto-Thresholding and SrcIP Awareness

Customer Challenges

- Administrators have difficulty determining correct static thresholds for DDoS
- Normal traffic patterns change as applications evolve and administrators are unable to keep up
- There is difficulty in distinguishing between "good guys" (legitimate traffic) and "bad actors" (threats)

F5 Solution: Per-App Auto-Thresholding

- Computes thresholds automatically for all 120+ DDoS vectors or only selected vectors
- Thresholds are continuously adjusted based on changes in traffic patterns
- "What-If" mode available, with report-only and no drops
- Per-SrcIP awareness available on every vector
- Significantly reduces human involvement and errors resulting in greater DDoS accuracy and lower operational impact





L7 DDoS Threshold Auto-Tuning

Customer Challenges

- Determining appropriate DDoS thresholds is difficult
- Ensuring DDoS threshold accuracy as traffic patterns change is a challenge

F5 Solution: L7 DDoS Threshold Auto-Tuning

- Simplifies DDoS threshold settings configuration
- Safeguards accuracy of DDoS threshold settings as traffic patterns change
- Analyzes measured resource usages and automatically establishes
 threshold values based on historic normalized traffic behavior
- Thresholds can be automatically established per DeviceID, Source IP, URL and site wide, automatically adjusting to continuously strengthen attack responses
- Drives efficiency, accuracy and control
- Strengthens defense policies for greater application protection

L7 Behavioral Analysis DoS

- Starting with BIG-IP ASM v13.1 the ability to create multiple DoS profiles with different BADOS behavior in the policies is now supported on HTTP Profiles
- Different DoS profiles with different BADOS settings can be applied across different hostnames on the same VS

VS + Profile have now independent BADOS behavior

Confidential

L7 Behavioral DDOS Protection: an advanced, phased approach

app

Multiple Layers

Start of Attack Identify Attackers Advanced Attacks

Even basic attacks can take an unprotected server down quickly.

Persistent attackers will adjust tools, targets sources and attack volume to defeat static DOS defenses. of Protection Rate Limit to Protect the Server Detect and Block Bots and Bad Actors Create and Enforce Dynamic Signatures

> Analyze Application Stress and Continually Tune Mitigations.

The f5 approach protects the server from the first moment of the attack and then analyzes the attack tools, sources and patterns to refine mitigations.

These sophisticated protections maximize application availability while minimizing false positives.





Behavioral Analysis DoS

Local Traffic » Policies : Pol	cy List » /Common/test1:DOS01
🚓 🚽 Properties	
General Properties	
Policy Name	test1
Name	DOS01
Description	
Match all of the following condition	ns:
HTTP Host host	▼ is ▼ in datagroup ▼ /Common/images ▼ at request ▼ time. ✿ Options
Do the following when the traffic	s matched:
Enable T I7dos	▼ from profile ▼ at request ▼ time.
Cancel Save	
	$VS \longrightarrow BADOS1$
	WWW.
HTTP –	\rightarrow Profile www.b.com VS \rightarrow BADOS2
	WWW

MALWARE

Malware Trends

In the first quarter of 2017, a new specimen of malware emerged every 4.2 seconds

1 in every 131 emails included malware in 2016 Over half (51%) of all breaches in 2016 involved some form of malware

Sources:

- 1) Malware trends 2017, G DATA Software
- 2) Symantec Internet Security Threat Report, April 2017

3) WannaCry Update, Rapid7 Blog, May 2017

Malware Attacks



Use our research to learn about new types of malware BLOG / JAN 10, 2018

A SPECTRE OF MELTDOWNS COULD BE IN STORE FOR 2018, INCLUDING FILELESS MALWARE ATTACKS AND MORE COSTLY BOTS





"The digital economy is firmly entrenched, and has an appearance that promises prosperity; but in this world, nothing can be said to be certain, except death, taxes, and vulnerabilities."

With many apologies to Benjamin Franklin, to whom the original, unaltered quote on which this one relies is typically attributed.



Credential Theft Using Malware



Deep Dive: Prevent Data & Credential Theft with F5 DataSafe



No app updates required

SUMMARY

App-Centric Security



App Protection Framework



F5 Advanced WAF

Protect against bots, credential attacks, and app-layer DoS



Defend against bots

- Proactive bot defense
- Anti-bot mobile SDK
- Client and server monitoring

Prevent Account Takeover

- App-level encryption
- Mobile app tampering
- Brute Force protection

Key Benefits:

- Protects Web and mobile apps from exploits, bots, theft, app-layer DoS
- Prevent malware from stealing data
 and credentials
- Prevent Brute Force attacks that use stolen credentials
- Eliminate time-consuming manual tuning for App-layer DoS protection

Protect apps from DoS

- Auto-tuning
- Behavioral analytics
- Dynamic signatures

F5 Advanced WAF Competitive Advantage

Key F5 Advantages



✓ Bot Protection

✓ Account Takeover

✓ App-Layer DoS

Bot protection beyond signatures and reputation

- \checkmark Web and mobile app protection
- ✓ Client fingerprinting
- ✓ Server performance monitoring

Account Takeover that stops credential theft and abuse

- ✓ Application Layer Encryption
- \checkmark Obfuscation and evasion detection
- ✓ Comprehensive Brute Force mitigation

App-Layer DoS that adapts to changing apps

- ✓ Real-time application baselines
- ✓ Behavioral analysis with machine learning
- ✓ Dynamic signatures with low false positives

ASM today (simplified)



Feature List per Product Offering



(\$) - Add On

(I) – Included in the AWAF



SOLUTIONS FOR AN APPLICATION WORLD